



REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-15 in the case are pending. Claim 7-15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out the distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Hecksel. Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hecksel. Claim 15 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hecksel as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Loeffler.


Applicant acknowledges with great appreciation the examiner's allowance of Claim 6, and the indication of allowability of claims 2-5 and 8-14.

With regard to the rejection under §112, Claims 7 and 15 have been amended to positively recite the drawer assemblies and to delete reference to the "cell", respectively. These claims are now considered definite and allowable.


With regard to the prior art rejections, base Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to incorporate the subject matter of allowable dependant Claims 2 and 8, respectively. In addition, new Claims 16 and 17 have been added to the application. These claims combine the subject matter of allowable original claims 4/1 and 5/1, and are likewise considered allowable.

For all these reasons discussed above, Applicant submits that all of the claims in the case are now in condition for allowance. Such action is therefore respectfully requested at an early date. If the Examiner believes that issues remain for discussion, she is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey J. Schwartz
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 37,532



Jeffrey J. Schwartz
Schwartz Law Firm, P.C.
SouthPark Towers
6100 Fairview Road, Suite 530
Charlotte, North Carolina 28210
Tel: 704-552-1889
Fax: 704-552-1866
Email: jjis@schwartz-iplaw.com